

290

- Parker wife vs Vaughan & Son b/s. Henry P. Vaughan is by the court appointed next friend to the infant defendants, and it is Decreed and ordered that John Nicholson, Samuel Blunt, John T. Blow & Thomas St. Hugh or any 3 of them carry the prayer of the complaint into effect & report.
- ✓ Battle vs Hines Shiff & Case non ad. by Intestate ad. if no & cont'd.
- ✓ Williams ad. vs Same Case non ad. by Intestate ad. if no & cont'd.
- ✓ Johnson vs Elmer Appeal cont'd.
- ✓ Mason vs Barroo Appeal cont'd.
- ✓ Denon vs Mather Appeal cont'd.
- ✓ Wager vs Barroo Appeal cont'd.
- ✓ Shepherd vs Dimay Appeal cont'd.
- ✓ Miller wife vs Maddle Debt cont'd.
- ✓ Grumpler ad. vs Johnson Debt cont'd.
- ✓ Barham vs Shepherd Debt cont'd. for Dft.
- ✓ Briggs ad. vs Hines Shiff & Case cont'd. by consent
- ✓ Colquhoun Esq. Jr. vs See Debt cont'd.
- ✓ Bryant vs Barnes ad. Case cont'd.
- ✓ Singleton vs Harris Case cont'd.
- ✓ Singleton vs Paxton Case cont'd.
- ✓ Howorth vs Hollies Case cont'd.
- ✓ Edward admt vs Boykin Case cont'd.
- ✓ Williams attorney vs Bimley Debt cont'd.
- ✓ Allow vs Reles, admt Debt cont'd. of leave to amend declaration in 2 months
- (E. 3:69) (S. 1:89) (F. 3:50) (J. 9:08) Reg vs Butt Case Indg to inquire of damages to wit Geo. G. Bentley, John D. Bower, Henry T. Mayet, Edwin Lewis, John Morris, Matthias William, Claiborne = W. Cain, John McMurtry, John Stephenson, John Carr, Joseph Reese, Wm. T. Blow  
note wished for Pkt. Augt. accdg with cost
- ✓ Barham vs Capelle Case Settlement of parties by their attorneys it is
- (Cost 17.85) considered by the court that the Pkt. recovers adg. the sum of \$58.91/cents subject to the following credits the sum of \$14.25. The sum of \$8.47 the sum of \$1. and that the debt pay to the Pkt. the costs of this suit —
- ✓ Barroo admt vs Bentley & Debt cont'd.
- ✓ Thomas admt & vs Hines Shiff Debt cont'd. for Dft.
- ✓ Myche Estt vs Jones Case cont'd.
- ✓ Johnson vs Calvert Case cont'd. for Pkt.
- ✓ Singleton vs Shepherd Case cont'd. for Pkt.
- ✓ Schell vs Jones admt Case cont'd. for Pkt.

294

- ✓ Browne vs Hines Shiff
- ✓ Williams ad. vs Name
- ✓ Colquhoun vs Alderman
- ✓ Bank, Sung. part. in H.
- ✓ Barnes ad. vs Toyner
- ✓ Sandford vs Ely
- ✓ Bailey vs Pinter Case
- & cont'd.
- ✓ Barroo ad. vs Hines Shiff
- ✓ Thorpe wife vs Barnes
- ✓ Watt S. vs Powee
- ✓ Turner vs Coble Debt
- ✓ Morell vs Peake Case
- ✓ Nicholson ad. vs Barnes
- ✓ Jones Esq. vs Williams
- (E. 3:95) (S. 1:68) (F. 3:50) (J. 9:14) Bailey vs Williams Debt  
as in the case of Bailey, Will. M. Muford, Simon Muford, James Peery & J. William Lewis vs Branch Esq.
- ✓ Murrough vs Hines Shiff
- ✓ Town. vs Jordan Rich
- ✓ Lewis admt vs Jones
- ✓ Same vs Same from
- (E. 3:57) (S. 1:68) (F. 3:50) (J. 8:69) Lee vs Williams ad. vs Mrs. Butter, Will. M. Muford, Whitfield, Thomas Dash with cost
- ✓ Monmack vs Lee
- ✓ Williams ad. vs Booth
- ✓ Moor vs Harrison Jr. Esq.
- ✓ Commission vs Nicks
- (E. 3:95) (S. 1:89) (F. 3:50) (J. 9:34) Williams vs Barroo
- ✓ Bailey vs Williams as in the case of Bailey, Clements vs Woodward Esq.
- (E. 3:57) (S. 1:68) (F. 3:50) (J. 8:69) as in the case of Bailey, Branch Esq. vs Barn
- ✓ Comme. vs Gray
- ✓ Same vs Morell